FY2008 SUMMARY TABLE FOR THE EVALUATION OF THE KEY RESULTS

			K IIIE EVALO								GROUP	S	_					
KEY RESULT		INDICATOR/STANDARD		Groups Level and Improvement									Gap Difference and Improvement					
				All	Black	Hispanic	White	Haitian	FRL	ESE	ELL	Black & White	Hispanic & White	Haitian & White	FRL & Not FRL	ESE & Not ESE	ELL & Not ELL	Number of Cells Improving
1	Reading Grade 2	1. SRI	%≥ 220															6
2	Algebra Grade 9	2. ETS Exam	% ≥ 40%ile															6
3 Progress at Same Grade Level	Reading Grades 3-10	3a. FCAT SSS	% Level 1															10
		3b. FCAT SSS	% ≥ Level 3															8
		3c. FCAT SSS	% Level 4															3
		3d. FCAT SSS	% Level 5															1
	Mathematics Grades 3-10	3e. FCAT SSS	% Level 1															0
		3f. FCAT SSS	% ≥ Level 3															0
		3g. FCAT SSS	% Level 4															4
		3h. FCAT SSS	% Level 5															4
	Science Grades 5,8,11	3i. FCAT SSS	% Level 1															3
		3j. FCAT SSS	% ≥ Level 3															4
		3k. FCAT SSS	% Level 4															4
		3I. FCAT SSS	% Level 5															4
	Writing	3m. FCAT SSS	% 1															9
		3n. FCAT SSS	% ≥ 4															9
	Grades 4,8,10	30. FCAT SSS	% 5															0
		3p. FCAT SSS	% 6															4
3 Progress of	Reading Grades 4-10	3q. FCAT SSS	Substantial Progress										×					3
Same Students	Mathematics Grades 4-10	3r. FCAT SSS	Substantial Progress															0
			Number of Cells Improving: KRs 1-3	9	7	6	8	7	1	3	9	7	6	8	7	1	3	82

STATISTICALLY POSITIVE

NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT

STATISTICALLY NEGATIVE

PARTICIPATION DECLINE

nr NOT REPORTED (N<30 or not calculable)

G TRADITIONAL GAP DOES NOT EXIST

FY2008 SUMMARY TABLE FOR THE EVALUATION OF THE KEY RESULTS

											GROUP	S						
KEY RESULT		INDICATOR/STANDARD				Groups	Level ar	nd Impro	vement	Gap Difference and Improvement								
				All	Black	Hispanic	White	Haitian	FRL	ESE	ELL	Black & White	Hispanic & White	Haitian & White	FRL & Not FRL	ESE & Not ESE	ELL & Not ELL	Number of Cells Improving
5	Mathematics Science	5a. Enrollment	% enrolled															9
3		5b. Enrollment	% enrolled															9
6	SAT	6a. Performance	Mean V + M															0
		6b. Participation	% taking SAT															9
7	All Subjects	7a. Performance	% passing AP															9
	All Subjects	7b. Participation	% taking AP															0
8	Dropout	8a. Rate*	% dropping out															9
0	Graduation	8b. Cohort Rate	% graduating															9
9	Suspension	9a. ISS**	% ISS															0
		9b. OSS**	% OSS															9
		9c. Attendance**	% 11 or more absences															9
			Number of Cells Improving: KRs 5-9	9	7	6	8	7	1	3	9	7	6	8	7	1	3	72
			Cells Improving	18	14	12	16	14	2	6	18	14	12	16	14	2	6	154

STATISTICALLY POSITIVE NOT STATISTICALLY DIFFERENT STATISTICALLY NEGATIVE PARTICIPATION DECLINE

 $^{\mathbf{G}}$ TRADITIONAL GAP DOES NOT EXIST

* REPORTED WINTER 2008

nr NOT REPORTED (N<30 or not calculable)
 Gap was determined by subtracting results of the traditionally higher performing group from the traditionally lower performing group.